

Date: Fri, 29 Oct 93 21:29:52 PDT
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #1286
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Fri, 29 Oct 93 Volume 93 : Issue 1286

Today's Topics:

 BAUD VS BAUDS
 Connecting Kenwood tm241A to kam??
 How to monitor police digital communic
 Icom W21AT for sale or swap
 Kenwood TS-50S Modifications
 NEW MAJOR RELEASE: MorseTrainer (v1.1) for Macintosh
 QSL Route ER8ZAL
 SAREX Keps & Update 10/30
 Windows Based Code Practice

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Thu, 28 Oct 1993 17:53:30 GMT
From: ftpbox!mothost!lmpsbbs!NewsWatcher!user@uunet.uu.net
Subject: BAUD VS BAUDS
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <2527@arrl.org>, bbattles@arrl.org (Brian Battles WS10) wrote:

> In rec.radio.amateur.misc, AGRI098@UNLVM.UNL.EDU (Roy) writes:
> >IS THE TERM BAUD LIKE MOST PEOPLE USE OR IS IT BAUDS LIKE THE ARRL
> >FOLKS USE?
>
> I always heard that a baud was a change from one state to another on
> an analog data circuit; so, more than one such change would be so many
> bauds. On the other hand, one doesn't say WPMs when words per minute

> is being discussed, the plural of WPM is WPM.

Slight difference here since WPM is a TLA (Three Letter acronym :-) for "WORDS per minute" and the singular being "WORD per minute". No one writes (or says) WsPM so the distinction is lost.

One used to show a distinction when SAYING "cycleS per second" as compared to "cycle per second" when appropriate. But it was always CPS with no distinction. When the term changed in honor of H. Hertz, it is alwways "Hertz", singular or plural. No one writes "Hertz's, Hertz'" or any other variant.

Other honorary names do follow however:

5 Ohms, 1 Ohm...sounds right, (Ohm being the full last name of the honored person)

5 Amps (Amperes), 1 Amp (Ampere)...sounds right

However it is even more curious how BAUD is used.

5 Bauds, 1 Baud...sound awkward, 5 Baud sounds better (I almost never hear anyone say "Baudot" or "Baudots" for the honored person.

So the curiosity continues... :-)

Oh, just one related pet peeve...when using abbreviations, please capitalize the letter associated with the honored person (i.e., kHz not khz, mA not ma).

73,

--

furtaw@comm.mot.com

Bob Furtaw - W8IL

CFI-A/G/I/MEI, CGI-A/I

All disclaimers ever written by anybody apply. :-)

Date: 28 Oct 93 11:00:19 EST

From: sdd.hp.com!math.ohio-state.edu!pacific.mps.ohio-state.edu!ohstpy.mps.ohio-state.edu!miavx1!miavx3.mid.muohio.edu!clmorgan@decwrl.dec.com

Subject: Connecting Kenwood tm241A to kam??

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <svh.751488776@sarge>, svh@verdix.com (Steven Hovater) writes:

> Just picked up a Kenwood TM241A for use as a packet

> radio - the docs that came with it don't indicate

> how the switching for the ptt is to function when

> operated by a TNC (specifically, I have a KAM, but
> I imagine they're all the same).
>
> Surely someone has done this..
>
> Thanks & 73's
> Steve (AA5YH)
> --
> Steven V. Hovater (703)318-5839
> Senior CASE Engineer Verdex Corporation
> EMAIL: svh@verdex.com Amateur Radio: AA5YH

I made all the connections in the 8-pin mic connector. Left the AFSK jumper on low, and began "packetting".

KAM DB-9 TM-241A

```

-----
1      TX Audio    11   White
3      PTT         2    Brown
5      RX Audio    6    audio cable
6      Ground      7    Shield
6      Ground      8    Black lead

```

This works for me.

73 >< Carl
K8NHE

```

-----
Date: 28 Oct 93 17:02:50 GMT
From: olivea!charnel!yeshua.marcam.com!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!spool.mu.edu!
sgiblab!bridge2!rental!peter@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: How to monitor police digital communic
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

```

In article <051346Z27101993@anon.penet.fi> an40111@anon.penet.fi whines:
> >
> >Any help on the subject would be appreciated, as well
> >as suggestions for the acquisition of the appropriate
> >equipment.
> >
> >This pertains to the systems used by the corrupt
> >criminal cops of southern California.

Well, yes, they may be corrupt and criminal, but they're not *stupid* :-)

Encryption is very easy to build into a digital system. Sometimes, it's not even called encryption, but error correction. I believe you're out of luck trying to monitor these...the protocol is proprietary and the data encoding is not standard ASCII. Your best bet is to try and get a hold of one of the terminals and reverse engineer the firmware.

Starting point? Walk up to a cruiser and read the manufacturer's name and model number off the terminal. I wouldn't expect too much help from the manufacturer, though...especially if it's Motorola [see competing thread :-]

Let us know how you make out...
Peter

```
=====
Peter Simpson, KA1AXY                      Peter_Simpson@3com.com
3Com Corporation                          (508) 836 1719
71 Lyman Street                          Northboro, MA 01532
#include <std_disclaimer.h>                Linux = *free!* Unix for 386
=====
```

Date: 28 Oct 1993 16:47:30 GMT
From: spool.mu.edu!agate!garnet.berkeley.edu!hank2@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: Icom W21AT for sale or swap
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I was the lucky winner of one of the main prizes at Pacificon last weekend -- the new Icom W21AT HT. I already own an Alinco 580 and would like to get a good dual band mobile or something to use as a base station. Anyway, you get the picture. Its brand new, of course -- box, wrappers, warranty card, manual etc. etc. You can't believe the bells and whistles on this radio!

The radio sells for \$519 at HRO (at Pacificon it sold for \$499). I'm not sure what to ask for the thing -- but I'd certainly take \$450 for it (or best offer). OR, swap it for a GOOD newish dual band mobile -- with or without cash involved.

Anyway, if you're interested in the radio, let me know and we can talk about it.

I can be reached at 510-527-3605 or 510-642-5584.

Henry Miller

KD6OVL

--

H. Miller
hank@violet.berkeley.edu

Date: Fri, 29 Oct 1993 17:47:02 GMT
From: rtech!amdahl!netcomsv!attain!icd.teradyne.com!news@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: Kenwood TS-50S Modifications
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Oct27.101802.92900@vaxc.cc.monash.edu.au>
maramis@vaxc.cc.monash.edu.au writes:
-I need some information on modifications on the Kenwood TS-50 HF radio for
-extended coverage..

Depends on what you mean by extended coverage. Out of the box, it receives
from .3-30 MHz. To open the transmitter for the full range, remove the
bottom of the case and cut D5 (the only leaded diode on the board) right
near the serial I/O connector) and reset the CPU. Remember that it is
illegal to transmit out of band (though I am not sure of the rules down under)
/mike

BTW, anyone know what adding those other missing diodes will do? How about
the switch labeled '50W'?

--

\\| Michael L. Ardai N1IST Teradyne ATG Boston

/|\ ardai@maven.dnet.teradyne.com

Date: 29 Oct 1993 13:59:28 GMT
From: pipex!sunic!news.lth.se!pomona.tde.lth.se!sund@uunet.uu.net
Subject: NEW MAJOR RELEASE: MorseTrainer (v1.1) for Macintosh
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

MorseTrainer US-1.1

Availability: ftp at 130.235.32.86

This is a major new-features and bug-fix release:

New features in 1.1

- * Enhanced sound generator, volume control and risetime control. The pitch is set in steps of 10Hz instead of using MIDI frequencies.
- * Speed and tempo limits increased to 80 words/minute as a result of the enhanced sound generator.
- * User-selectable font in the Misc settings dialog.

Bugs fixed in 1.1

- * Better signal timing. In earlier versions of MorseTrainer the tempo selected was not equal to the actual tempo if the tempo and speed were different. However, even if the timing is very exact now you may still encounter the problem, especially on smaller computers such as Mac Plus, SE, Classic etc. These computers cannot handle sequences of short sounds properly.
- >>> If the timing error is more than 5% the user will be notified. If the timing error is smaller than 5% and you still want to be notified, hold down the option key at the end of a signalling session.

Known problems in 1.1

- * The sound volume control is not supported by Apple on all machine and system configurations.

Notes for 1.1

- * When a library is opened by MorseTrainer US-1.1 it will be converted to a new format automatically. Thereafter, the library cannot be opened successfully with an older version of MorseTrainer.

General info for 1.1

MorseTrainer is a powerful tool for learning and training Morse code.

Features

- * Three different training modes: user text, random text, library text
- * Arbitrary signal volume, pitch, waveform and risetime
- * Arbitrary speed and tempo
- * Multi-alphabet support
- * Random text generator
- * Text library editor
- * On-line alphabet
- * On-line manual
- * Help Balloons
- * And a lot more!

And of course, it's FREEWARE!

Lars Sundstroem
Department of Applied Electronics, Lunds University
P.O. Box 118, 221 00 LUND, Sweden
phone: +46 46 10 95 13
fax: +46 46 12 99 48
email: sund@tde.lth.se

Date: Fri, 29 Oct 1993 10:19:56 -0400
From: mustang.mst6.lanl.gov!nntp-server.caltech.edu!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!usc!
howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu!cis.ohio-
state.edu!news.sei.cmu.edu@nntp.ucsb.edu
Subject: QSL Route ER8ZAL
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Does anyone know the QSL route for ER8ZAL? Thanks.

Keith Poole K7MOA/3

Date: 30 Oct 93 00:26:43 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: SAREX Keps & Update 10/30
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

SB SAREX @ AMSAT \$STS-58.031
SAREX Keps & Update 10/30

Saturday 10/30/93 @ 00:00 UTC

Since all scheduled SAREX activities are now complete, several general QSO opportunities are available. While the SAREX Working Group cannot fully guarantee that the crew will be operating, there is a high probability that the STS-58 astronauts will be ready to take general calls over the continental U.S. on these passes. These opportunities include a pass on orbit 192 at MET 11 days 22 hours and 29 minutes (10/30 at 13:22 UTC) and a pass on orbit 193 at MET 12 days 0 hours 6 minutes (10/30 at 14:49 UTC). Please note that many hams on the ground heard or worked the Shuttle Columbia crew on several orbits today. Both voice and packet modes were operating.

Element set GSFC-031, generated by Ron Parise, WA4SIR, will continue to be the official SAREX element set for today. On orbit 181 Gil Carman, WA5NOM, of the Johnson Space Center compared the orbiter state vector to GSFC-031. The state vector was 6 seconds later than this element set. Other later element sets (e.g. GSFC-038) are currently less accurate than GSFC-031.

STS-58

1 22869U 93065A 93300.17699070 0.00133671 99048-5 24183-3 0 318
2 22869 39.0252 71.9896 0012817 34.2105 325.9529 16.00500857 1383

Satellite: STS-58

Catalog number: 22869

Epoch time: 93300.17699070 (27 OCT 93 04:14:51.** UTC)

Element set: GSFC-031

Inclination: 39.0252 deg

RA of node: 71.9896 deg Space Shuttle Flight STS-58

Eccentricity: 0.0012817 Keplerian Elements

Arg of perigee: 34.2105 deg

Mean anomaly: 325.9529 deg

Mean motion: 16.00500857 rev/day Semi-major Axis: 6651.1630 Km

Decay rate: 0.13E-02 rev/day*2 Apogee Alt: 281.30 Km

Epoch rev: 138 Perigee Alt: 264.25 Km

NOTE - This element set is based on NORAD element set # 031.

The spacecraft has been propagated to the next ascending
node, and the orbit number has been adjusted to bring it
into agreement with the NASA numbering convention.

Submitted by Frank H. Bauer, KA3HDO for the SAREX Working Group

/EX

Date: 30 Oct 93 00:04:08 GMT

From: boulder!dosstudent.Colorado.EDU!millerpe@uunet.uu.net

Subject: Windows Based Code Practice

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Does anyone know of a windows based code practice program??

Peter Miller

millerpe@spot.colorado.edu

Date: Thu, 28 Oct 1993 01:40:58 GMT

From: rtech!amdahl!netcomsv!netcom.com!jlr@decwrl.dec.com

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <CF0zE2.7zI@news.hawaii.edu>, <jfhCF1nA9.6nn@netcom.com>,
<CF2HAM.JDD@news.Hawaii.Edu>mdah

Subject : Re: BESTIALITY AMATEUR RADIO FRATERNITY (BARF)

jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman) writes:

>Oh Jack, don't be such an old bag of bones! If you'd like, I'll email
>you a description of what the guys are doing in the restrooms and showers
>on campus; pretty disgusting.
>on campus; pretty disgusting.

>Jeff

So what's your point? I could e-mail you a description of what so-called "normal" straight people do in adult book stores, in restrooms and showers, and to their kids! Would that be representative of all heterosexuals? I don't think so. I have a friend that works as a receptionist in a shelter for battered children; some of the things done to those kids (sexually) would make your hair stand on end -- but I don't put that out as typical of all straights, as you seem to imply about gays in your posting.

--

Joe L. Reda | Separation of Church and State -- not just a good idea,
jlr@netcom.com | IT'S THE LAW!!

Campbell, CA |

Date: 29 Oct 93 14:13:53 GMT

From: nntp.ucsb.edu!mustang.mst6.lanl.gov!nntp-server.caltech.edu!
elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!emory!news-feed-2.peachnet.edu!concert!duke!
news.duke.edu!ee.ee.duke.edu!jbs@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1993Oct17.233220.19586@ssc.com>, <CF2wpI.vx@news.Hawaii.Edu>,
<jlrCFL2rF.4uo@netcom.com>nasa.go
Subject : Re: Homonauseated (Was: Newsline #842)

In article <jlrCFL2rF.4uo@netcom.com> jlr@netcom.com (Joe L. Reda) writes:
>No one *chooses* to be gay. Ever heard of genetics?

Nonsense. There is no convincing evidence of genetic predisposition to homosexuality. Read "And the Band Played On," whose author is gay; he quotes a gay man in the book saying "I didn't become gay so I could use condoms" (in reference to efforts in the early days of AIDS to require warnings to be posted on bathhouse walls in San Francisco). I don't dispute that most gays probably don't make a conscious decision to be gay, but there are certainly some who do. A woman I've known since I was

10 years old had a rough time with men when she was young (she was quite overweight and some guys were pretty cruel about it) so she decided to try loving women instead. She was homosexual for about 4 or 5 years, then got some psychiatric help that enabled her to improve her self-image and get her weight under control; eventually she went back to men and is now married and has a child (note that I am not for an instant suggesting that homosexuality is "curable" by psychiatric treatment).

My aunt married a man whose wife, after six years of marriage and two children, left him - for another woman (a lucky thing for my aunt, who carries Muscular Distrophy in her DNA, and thus couldn't have her own kids).

>The most ludicrous definition of 'minority' I've ever seen. Are you
>so behind the times that you think you can spot a homosexual just by
>looking at one?

A common error perpetuated by the fact that *often* one can tell from mannerisms who's gay and who's not. However, there are plenty of gays who *don't* have outwardly visible "gay" mannerisms, and there are plenty of straights who *do* appear to have "gay" mannerisms. Unfortunately, some people think it's important to be able to determine who's gay and who's not, and many of them erroneously believe they can judge this by appearances with 100% accuracy.

>The REAL truth is that most folks who live in the twentieth century are
>not disgusted by the behavior of gays. They're getting more and more
>disgusted with intolerant behavior and discrimination of any form!

How did you come by this information? A recent poll, perhaps? It seems (from general perceptions, I admit) that the majority of Americans are still disgusted by homosexuality. It's true that many people are also getting disgusted with intolerant behavior and discrimination, but neither of those is necessarily a requirement for disgust toward homosexuality.

-joe

--

You spend the night
Like you were spending a dime
- Lyle Lovett

Date: Thu, 28 Oct 1993 01:28:26 GMT
From: rtech!amdahl!netcomsv!netcom.com!jlr@decwrl.dec.com
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1993Oct12.190750.16549@pony.Ingres.COM>,
<1993Oct17.233220.19586@ssc.com>, <CF2wpI.vx@news.Hawaii.Edu>

Subject : Re: Homonauseated (Was: Newsline #842)

jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman) writes:

>In article <1993Oct17.233220.19586@ssc.com> tad@ssc.com (Tad Cook) writes:

>We can dislike the behavior of people without hating the individual
>person. I dislike the behavior of homosexuals, violent criminals,
>drug pushers, rapists, pedophiles, necrophiliacs, bestialphiles,
>peeping Toms, stalkers, repeater jammers, cannibals. (If I've left
>anyone out please email me. Sorry I didn't mention BSS'ers...)
>(These aren't words I normally use so sorry if any are misspelled).

Just what I like to see . . being lumped in with violent criminals,
drug pushers, rapists, etc. What century are you from? Talk about
empty arguments . . I've heard that one before: "We love the sinner but
we hate the sin!". Here's a consciousness-raiser for you: with the
exception of homosexuality, all of the above behaviors are learned or
chosen. No one *chooses* to be gay. Ever heard of genetics?

>Now, you would not be able to determine any of the above by
>just looking at them, therefore they are not minorities as in the
>racial sense. To say that homosexuals are a minority is to say that
>child molesters are a minority. Should we have special hiring
>provisions for child molesters? Nope. We must not classify a person
>as being in a minority according to their behavior.

The most ludicrous definition of 'minority' I've ever seen. Are you
so behind the times that you think you can spot a homosexual just by
looking at one? Gays generally don't want special hiring provisions,
just protection from losing a job because of bigotry!

>The plain truth is that most folks are disgusted by the behavior
>of the above groups. To compare disliking a person's actions with
>Hitler's wanting to exterminate the Jews is a very, very sorry
>argument; the usual empty arguments that the pro-gay groups
>try to pin on us.

The REAL truth is that most folks who live in the twentieth century are
not disgusted by the behavior of gays. They're getting more and more
disgusted with intolerant behavior and discrimination of any form!

>Whether you're a homosexual or a cannibal keep your activities to
>yourself so we won't be disgusted and you won't be judged. If you
>flaunt your unpopular behavior then expect to be chastised.

So it's "flaunting" to want the same rights --no more or less-- than everyone else? Give me a break. Yeah, this topic doesn't belong here. It doesn't belong anywhere. We shouldn't have to be talking about it because it shouldn't matter what people who mind their own business do with their lives as long as they harm none. But there's always those who think they know how everybody should live. Pardon my sounding bitter; I'm a little tired of 30+ years of dealing with bigoted crap.

>Okay, lets all go over to .policy and continue the code debate
>and discuss antenna restrictions.

>Jeff NH6IL (back in the days before Usenet <i.e., the Dark Ages>: WA6QIJ)

\\Joe KC6TXU

--

Joe L. Reda | Separation of Church and State -- not just a good idea,
jlr@netcom.com | IT'S THE LAW!!

Campbell, CA |

Date: 29 Oct 93 14:21:07 GMT
From: nntp.ucsb.edu!mustang.mst6.lanl.gov!nntp-server.caltech.edu!
elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!emory!news-feed-2.peachnet.edu!concert!duke!
news.duke.edu!ee.ee.duke.edu!jbs@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <dbledsoeCFJK67.FAB@netcom.com>, <22708@news.duke.edu>,
<jfhCFMGx4.FtK@netcom.com>oy.jpl
Subject : Re: GAY INTERNATIONAL HAM RADIO CLUB

In article <jfhCFMGx4.FtK@netcom.com> jfh@netcom.com (Jack Hamilton) writes:

>>Yeah, well, frequently it takes a threat of action to make someone realize they
>>have taken an untenable position. They reversed that position to a reasonable
>>one, now you guys should shut up and get on with your lives. Of course, you
>>won't do that because your main interest is not getting your ad run.

>And what do you think their main interest is?

>

>Obviously not publicity, since the number of hams they would reach by having
>their ad run would be much larger than the number of hams who read
>rec.radio.amateur.misc.

Obviously publicity. If they didn't want publicity (not about the existence of their organization, but about their complaint against ARRL) they would submit their ad and shut up. Instead, they're continuing to beat the dead horse and demanding that the ARRL give them coverage in the magazine besides just the ad. They're demanding public apologies and such. Or didn't you see all those demands earlier in this thread?

>You mentioned political ax-grinding, but I'm not sure what that means in
>this case. What do you think LARC would gain by going up against the ARRL,
>besides having their ad published?

Publicity.

-joe

--

You spend the night
Like you were spending a dime
- Lyle Lovett

Date: Fri, 29 Oct 1993 13:42:09 GMT
From: news.moneng.mei.com!uwm.edu!linac!uchinews!att-out!cbnewsd!cbnewsc!
k9jma@uunet.uu.net
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <CFJ304.Bp5@fc.hp.com>, <jfhCFKJK1.C53@netcom.com>,
<CFMqx6.Cvt@fc.hp.com>net
Subject : Re: GAY INTERNATIONAL HAM RADIO CLUB

In article <CFMqx6.Cvt@fc.hp.com> perry@fc.hp.com (Perry Scott) writes:

>Jack Hamilton (jfh@netcom.com) wrote:

>: perry@fc.hp.com (Perry Scott) wrote:

>

>Sorry to beat this to death.

>

>: >As I understand the 1st amendment, the ARRL can print whatever they

>: >want. Do the civil rights of LARC exceed those of the ARRL?

>

>: The ARRL is not an ordinary private citizen. It receives government

>: support (non-profit status) and has some governmental privileges

>: (administering tests, for example).

>

>A technical nit - I thought the LARC complaint was lodged against the

>ARRL on the basis of CT law, not Federal law. Another technical nit -

>state law is superceded by the US Constitution. Freedom of press is

>ACTUALLY MENTIONED there. The "sexual minority" patchwork of case law

>is a recent machination of the Judicial Branch.

And nothing in the Federal Constitution invalidates the Connecticut law. Rights are frequently found to be in conflict, and so the courts have to balance the various rights since they can't be both absolute and conflicting. Federal and state requirements for equal access to public accommodation and for common carriers and commercial speech are the law, and have withstood any number of challenges on 1st amendment grounds. You may call it what you will, but that's how the courts interpret the law now.

>I think what bothers me about the LARC complaint is that it seeks to
>make LARC more equal than everyone else. Under the envisioned scenario,
>the ARRL must justify their decision to conduct or not conduct business
>when minority organizations are involved.

The affected groups do not try to become "more equal" when they require protection (that others do not need) against future discrimination. The point is that LARC needs more protection against future discrimination than do other groups because of the ARRL having demonstrated its propensity to discriminate against LARC (for a prohibited reason) through its past actions. The "more equal" complaint would be logical if the advertisers of gospel tracts were to demand protection against discrimination on the basis of religious discrimination - because the ARRL has not shown any intent to discriminate against them on that basis.

> By virtue of the ad, LARC
>identifies its self-described "minority" status and exacts an extra
>quantum of deliberation over and above any other individual or
>organization. What was a "can we use this?" decision (apropos to
>an Editor) becomes a "how do we refuse this and still be Politically
>Correct?" exercise in semantic gymnastics.

Interesting that you equate "be Politically Correct" with "obey the law". I suppose PC is just longhand for "laws I don't like". Editors must conform to all legal requirements in all editorial decisions. They already have to worry about libel and slander, disseminating prohibited technical information (ECPA and encryption) and complying with myriad other laws and regulations (e.g. postal).

>: >: LARC believes that to go ahead and run the advertising without
>: >: resolution of the underlying issue will undermine its own complaint. So,
>: >: until the ARRL will discuss the real issue of discrimination, the issue of
>: >: advertising cannot be resolved.
>: >
>: >What discrimination? The ARRL refused to print my article. Do I get to
>: >sue them too?
>
>: If the ARRL said "We won't print your article because you're gay/black/
>: jewish", then yes, you should sue.

>
>Gee. The ARRL was nonspecific - the old "don't need it right now" line
>as I recall. How silly of me not to have pressed them for the REAL
>reason. It's hard to work a homosexual thread into a construction
>project.

Get real. Articles aren't the same as advertising; the author pays to have
the ad published; the editor pays to get an article to publish. The
magazine is much more like a "common carrier" with respect to
advertisements than it is with respect to editorial content like articles.

>
>Perry

--

Ed Schaefer

K9JMA ham radio

N97178 aviation

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #1286

